

ON jóð n. 'new born child, descendant'

Daniel Kölligan Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg

ABSTRACT

It is argued that ON $j\delta\delta$ 'child, descendant', Gmc. *e $\mu\delta$ /pa-, is related to the root PIE * $h_{\ell}e\mu h_{1/3}$ - 'to give milk, to suck', either as a substantivized verbal adjective * $h_{\ell}e\mu h_{1/3}$ -to- 'nourished, fed' comparable to * $genh_{\ell}to$ - 'child' (Germ. Kind) regarding the word formation, or as a noun * $h_{\ell}e\mu h_{1/3}d^bo$ - based on the root shape * $h_{\ell}e\mu h_{1/3}d^b$ - underlying Gr. o $\delta\theta\alpha\rho$, Lat. $\bar{u}ber$ 'udder', etc.

KEYWORDS: Old Norse, etymology, vrddhi, substantivization

1. Status quaestionis

Earlier etymological proposals for ON $j\acute{o}\emph{o}$ 'child' have proved unsatisfactory on the formal and semantic level: as the discussion will show (section 2), the Gmc. evidence does not allow connections with words in other languages continuing PIE *d such as Greek \dot{v} oρία 'water vessel', and a link with words meaning 'to feel' and 'delicate' (Lith. $ja\ddot{u}sti$, $jautr\dot{u}s$) is neither semantically compelling nor corroborated by further material in other languages. After a review of the Germanic evidence (section 1), the paper attempts to connect the word with the PIE root * h_l euh $_{l/3}$ - 'to (breast-)feed, give milk' and a possibly enlarged variant * h_l euh $_{l/3}$ d h - (section 3). If correct, the Old Norse form might provide further indirect evidence for this verbal root.

 Received:
 07/10/2022
 RL I 2024, pp. 225-231

 Accepted:
 08/04/2023
 doi: 10.4454/rl.v1-659

2. Old Norse jóð and cognates

The Old Norse neuter noun *jóð* 'new born child, descendant' occurs, e.g., in the *Rígsþula* and the *Atlamál* of the poetic Edda, cf.¹

(1) Jóp ól Edda,
jósu vatni,
... hétu Þrél.
'Edda gave birth to a son,
they sprinkled him with water,
... they called him 'Thræll.'

Rigsbula 7

(2) Jóp ól Amma,
jósu vatni,
... kǫlluþu Karl.
'Amma gave birth to a son,
they sprinkled him with water,
... they called him Karl.'

Rigsbula 11

(3) Sáll es hverr síþan,
es slík getr fóþa
jóþ at afreki,
sem es ól Gjúki.
'He shall be very happy afterwards,
who gets to father
offspring as gallant
as the one that Gjuki begot.'

Atlamál 99

Jóð also occurs in the compound jóð-ungr 'young' (Sigurðarkviða 3.37), in the adjective jóðligr 'blooming, thriving like a baby' and the PN Jóðgeirr, -bjǫrn, -arr, -hildr². It is usually connected with a Gmc. protoform *euþa-/*euða- assumed to occur also in a number of Germanic personal and tribal names (Iuthungi, Eudusii)³ which have been

¹ Cf. also GERING (1903: 538).

² Cf. Vries (1977: 292).

³ Cf. Neumann (1989), who argues that *Eudusii* could mean 'zur Jungmannschaft gehörend', 'belonging to the young warriors', and Neumann (2000).

discussed in detail by Wagner (2013): regarding the quality of the fricative, ON jóð is ambiguous due to the neutralization of the voicing distinction in intervocalic position in Old Norse, and also Runic *IuþingaR* on the stone of Reistad (Norway, ca. 450-500 CE)⁴, which is usually assumed to belong to the same root, may show an early spelling of the voiced fricative as <þ>5. In contrast to this, OHG *Eodo* (*n*-stem, Freising a. 824), *Eodunc* (between 758 and 804/6), *Eodolt/Hiodolt*, and probably also Goth. **Iuþareiks* in Lat. *Eutharicus* (Theoderic's son-in-law), presuppose **euþa-* 'child, descendant', while OHG *Eoto/(H)Ioto* and *Eotheri* go back to **euða-*. The same variation occurs in OHG *Iud* and *Iudo* (in the *Reichenauer Verbrüderungsbuch*, 9th c.) from **iuþi-*- since *u*-stems are not productive, a form **iuḥu-* seems unlikely – with *Umlaut* from **éuḥ-i-*, beside which a form **euð-i-* is presupposed by OHG (*H*) *Iuto*, quasi **euð-ōn*.

3. Grammatischer Wechsel

The variation *eupa/i- / *euða/i- seems to favour an interpretation as grammatischer Wechsel of a single paradigm with an originally mobile accent. This is impossible in the case of the a-stem and unlikely for the i-stem, since there do not seem to be similar cases in Germanic. It seems more likely that there is a derivational relationship between the two forms⁶. If *-ða- continues the suffix PIE *-tó- forming verbal adjectives, *éupa- could derive from a form *uðá- in the same way as OHG kind goes back to Gmc. *kenpa-, virtually from *génh₁to-, beside the zero-grade oxytone adjective *gnh₁tó- found, e.g., in ON regin-kunnr 'of divine descent' < *kunpa-. The root *genh₁- may also provide a parallel for the unexpected full-grade in the oxytone form, if OS kind goes back to *kenða- < *genh₁tó-. The identical OFr. form is certainly borrowed from High German, as it lacks the expected

⁴ Cf. Krause (1971: 159); Bammesberger (1996); Eythórsson (1999).

⁵ Cf. *fapur* on the stone from Skåän, Södermanland, Sweden, dated between 375-570. Cf. https://app.raa.se/open/runor/inscription?id=2c6c108c-851b-4cd6-a140-675afaa098f4.

⁶ Cf. also the discussion in NEUMANN (1989: 619).

palatalization of the velar stop as, e.g., in OFr. szin(bake), OE cinn vs OHG kinni 'chin'. Schaffner (2001: 235-238) and EWAhd 5.515 discuss this question without a final decision, and the former points out a possible parallel case in Ved. *márta-* m. 'mortal, man' < **mérto-*, Av. *marəta-* 'id.' if from **martá-* < **mertó-* (for **márta-* one would expect Av. **maša-). He further assumes that the oxytone accent in such full-grade forms could be caused by analogy to zero-grade verbal adjectives in -tó- substantivized as such, e.g., ON kundr m. 'son' < *kunða- < *ģnh,tó-, whence also *ģenh,tó-. In any case, derivational accent shift and Verner variation was productive in Germanic, and forms such as Gmc. *staba- m. n. 'river bank, beach' < *státo- beside *staða- 'standing, stable' < *stató-, might have provided a basis for an adjective *euða- beside a noun *euba-, although it is usually assumed that the derivation works in the opposite direction⁷. Since the discussion has shown that the Gmc. forms contain either *-\(\beta\-\) or *-\(\delta\-\), etyma with PIE *d are excluded. Hence, the old explanation which connects ON jóð with Lat. *uter* 'leather bag' and *uterus* 'womb, belly' is impossible8: the former probably belongs to OIr. otre 'goatskin bottle' and Gk. ὑδρία 'water vessel', the latter to Skt. udára- n. 'belly', Gk. ὕδερος 'dropsy', ὑστέρα 'womb' (< *ud-terā) and Lith. véderas 'sausage; intestines, stomach', OCS vědro n. 'barrel'9. As an alternative, Magnússon (2008: s.v. jóð) proposes a link with Lith. jausti 'to feel, perceive', jautrus 'emotional, delicate, subtle' which is not compelling semantically and lacks further corroborating data.

4. PIE roots

If, as pointed out above, *eupa- continues an earlier form derived from a verbal adjective, a possible root to connect it with might be PIE * h_l eu $h_{l/3}$ - 'to give milk, suckle' assumed by Melchert (1986) for

⁷ Note also oxytone Gmc. *iungá- 'young' (in contrast, e.g., to the comparative form *iunyizan-).

⁸ Cf., e.g., Much (1893: 206-207) and Schönfeld (1911: 81).

⁹ On the Latin development of *-dr- > -tr- whence utero- cf. DE VAAN (2008: 647).

Hitt. $u\dot{u}a$ - interpreted as an agent noun ${}^*h_1o\dot{u}h_{1/3}$ - \acute{o} - 'nurse, nourishing mother'¹⁰. If ON $j\acute{o}\acute{o}$ goes back to this ${}^*e\dot{u}h_{a}$ -, its transposed antecedent would have the form ${}^*h_1\acute{e}\dot{u}h_{1/3}$ -to- 'suckling, breastfed', derived in the same way as Gmc. *kinh_a - 'child' from PIE ${}^*g\acute{e}nh_1^{-11}$:

*
$$\acute{g}nh_{l}t\acute{o}$$
- 'born' \rightarrow * $\acute{g}\acute{e}nh_{l}to$ - 'child' $>$ Gmc. * $kinpa^n$
* $h_{l}uh_{l/3}t\acute{o}$ - 'suckled' \rightarrow * $h_{l}\acute{e}uh_{l/3}to$ - 'child' $>$ Gmc. * $eupa^n$

Since, as shown by *kinba-, this is apparently a productive process in Germanic, an early Germanic derivation from the verbal adjective * $(H)uH\delta\acute{a} \rightarrow *(H)\acute{e}uHba$ - may be conceivable. However, extra-Germanic data opens up another possibility: as discussed by Vine & Yokoyama (2010), the PIE word for 'udder' found in Germanic OE ūder, OHG ūtar (< *ūdra-) and ON júgr (< *eudur)¹², and further Skt. udhar-/-an- (*HuHdh-), Gk. οὖθαρ (*Houdh-) and Lat. $\bar{u}ber$, i.e., PIE * $H(e/o)uHd^h$ -r/n-, is likely to derive from a root *HeuHdh- found in dialectal Russ. údit 'to ripen (of grain); to swell up with liquid'13 which could continue a CoC-éje/o-formation transposable as *HouHdh-éie/o-. Beside this, Vine & Yokoyama (2010) have drawn attention to a dialectal Russian form 3PL udut which may go back to the primary simple thematic form *h,euh, 13dh-onti. If so, udut might be a direct trace of the primary verb underlying the PIE word for 'udder'. Vine & Yokoyama (2010) further assume that this root could be the result of a universation of * $h_1euh_{1/3}$ - with * d^heh_1 - 'to put'. Given that this putative base root probably meant 'give milk, suckle, excrete liquid', one might even speculate that the complex form * $h_i e u h_{i/2} - d^h$ - goes back to a *dvandva*-compound with * $d^h e h_i(i)$ - 'to suckle', i.e., * $h_i e u h_{1/3} - d^h(h_i[i])$ - 'to nurse-suckle', or a verbal governing compound, if the first member was a root noun 'milk, nourish-

Attested only in the Soldier's Song in the Puḥanu-chronicle. Various other proposals for its interpretation have been made, *inter alia* 'son', 'forefather', 'grandfather', cf. Kloekhorst (2008: 934-935).

¹¹ Cf. on this type of word-formation SCHAFFNER (2001: 334-335).

¹² Cf. Kroonen (2013: 120).

¹³ Cf. Даль (1909: s.v.): «о зернѣ, ... наливаться, толстѣть, набирать тѣло» ('about grain, ... to ripen/become juicy, to become fat, to gain in body').

ment', i.e., 'to milk-feed' or 'to put milk'¹⁴. For the Germanic forms presupposing *euða-, this could imply a PIE transponat *h_euh_1/3}d^bo-. A neuter of the type $\sharp \rho \gamma o \nu$ (PIE *uérģ-o-) probably meant 'suckled being, nursling' (Germ. Säugling) that could be the substantivization of an adjective *h_euh_1/3}d^b-o- 'fed, nursed' in the sense of Nussbaum (2017). Since the more complex root *h_euh_1/3}d^b- is better attested in general, but Germanic *euha- is irreconcilable with it, one may either assume that Germanic inherited both *h_euh_1/3- and *h_euh_1/3}d^b- or that it only inherited the simpler variant and that, as discussed above, *euða- is an inner-Germanic creation. In any case, if the etymology proposed here is correct, it would provide further data for a PIE root *h_euh_1/3- 'to swell up with liquid, excrete liquid, give milk'.

*h_leuh_{1/3}- : *h_luh_{1/3}-tó- (→ *h_léuh_{1/3}to-) > Gmc. *HuHðá- → *Héuþa-/Heuðá-*h_leuh_{1/3}d^h(eh_l-²) : *h_leuh_{1/3}d^ho- > Gmc. *Heuða-

Daniel Kölligan Institut für Altertumswissenschaften Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg daniel.koelligan@uni-wuerzburg.de

References

- Bammesberger, A. (1996), *Die Runeninschrift auf dem Stein von Reistad*, in «Historische Sprachforschung» 109, pp. 117-126.
- EWAhd = LLOYD, A. L., Springer, O. & Lühr, R. (1988-2017, eds.), Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Althochdeutschen, Göttingen, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- EYTHÓRSSON, TH. (1999), The Runic Inscription on the Reistad Stone: The Earliest Landnámabók, in Bammesberger, A. & Waxenberger, G. (eds.), Pforzen und Bergakker: neue Untersuchungen zu Runeninschriften, Göttingen, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, pp. 189-202.
- GERING, H. (1903), Vollständiges Wörterbuch zu den Liedern der Edda, Halle a. S., Verlag der Buchhandlung des Waisenhauses.

On the question whether the apparent homonyms PIE * $d^beh_I(i)$ - 'to put' and 'to suckle' are ultimately the same root cf. DE VAAN (2019).

- KLOEKHORST, A. (2008), Etymological Dictionary of the Hittite Inherited Lexicon, Leiden, Brill.
- Krause, W. (1971), Die Sprache der urnordischen Runeninschriften, Heidelberg, Winter.
- MAGNÚSSON, Á. B. (2008), Íslensk orðsifjabók, Reykjavik, Orðabók Háskólans.
- MELCHERT, H. C. (1986), *Hittite* uwaš *and Congeners*, in «Indogermanische Forschungen» 91, pp. 102-115.
- Much, R. P. (1893), *Goten und Ingvaeonen*, in «Beiträge zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur» 17, pp. 178-221.
- NEUMANN, G. (1989), Eudusii, in BECK, H., JANKUHN, H., RANKE, K. & WENSKUS, R. (eds.), Reallexikon der germanischen Altertumskunde, vol. VII: Einfache Formen Eugippius, Berlin New York, de Gruyter, pp. 617-620.
- NEUMANN, G. (2000), *Juthungen. § 1. Der Name*, in Beck, H., Jankuhn, H., Ranke, K. & Wenskus, R. (eds.), *Reallexikon der germanischen Altertumskunde.* vol. XVI: *Jadwingen Kleindichtung*, Berlin New York, de Gruyter, pp. 141-142.
- NUSSBAUM, A. J. (2017), Agentive and other derivatives of 'τομός-type' nouns, in LE FEUVRE, C., PETIT, D. & PINAULT, G.-J. (eds.), Verbal Adjectives and Participles in Indo-European Languages. Proceedings of the Conference of the Society for Indo-European Studies (Indogermanische Gesellschaft), Paris, 24th to 26th September 2014 = Adjectifs verbaux et participes dans les langues indo-européennes, Bremen, Hempen Verlag, pp. 233-266.
- SCHAFFNER, St. (2001), Das Vernersche Gesetz und der innerparadigmatische grammatische Wechsel des Urgermanischen im Nominalbereich, Innsbruck, Institut für Sprachen und Literaturen der Universität Innsbruck.
- Schönfeld, M. (1911), Wörterbuch der altgermanischen Personen- und Völkernamen, Heidelberg, Winter.
- DE VAAN, M. (2008), Etymological Dictionary of Latin and the Other Italic Languages, Leiden, Brill.
- ——— (2019), On the homonymy of 'put' and 'suck' in Proto-Indo-European, in «Indo-European Linguistics» 7.1, pp. 176-193.
- VINE, B. & YOKOYAMA, O. T. (2010), PIE *(h_p)euh₁-d^h- 'excrete liquid' and Russian dialectal udut (3 pl.), in Nikolaeva, T. M. (ed.), Issledovaniia po lingvistike i semiotike: sbornik stateĭ k iubileiu Viach.Vs. Ivanova, Moscow: Iazyki slavianskikh kul'tur, pp. 191-196.
- DE VRIES, J. (1977), Altnordisches etymologisches Wörterbuch, Leiden, Brill.
- WAGNER, N. (2013), *Ungeklärte Kurznamen im althochdeutschen Personennamenbestand*, in «Beiträge zur Namenforschung» 48.1, pp. 1-94.
- Даль, В. (1909), *Толковый словарь живого великорусскаго языка*, С.-Петербург-Москва, М.О. Вольф.